```
1
                IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                    FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON
2
3
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
4
                     Plaintiff,
                                       ) No. 05-60008-2-HO
5
                                        ) September 9, 2010
       v.
6
     PIROUZ SEDAGHATY, et al.,
                                        ) Eugene, Oregon
7
                     Defendants.
8
9
                  TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL PROCEEDINGS
10
               BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHAEL R. HOGAN
11
          UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, AND A JURY
12
                        DAY 8 - PAGES 1 - 21
13
14
                                -:-
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
                     Deborah Wilhelm, CSR, RPR
                           Court Reporter
24
                           P.O. Box 1504
                         Eugene, OR 97440
25
                           (541) 431-4113
```

1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL			
2				
3	FOR	THE	PLAINTIFF:	CHRISTOPHER L. CARDANI United States Attorney's Office
4				405 E. 8th Avenue, Suite 2400 Eugene, OR 97401
5				(541) 465-6771 chris.cardani@usdoj.gov
6				CHARLES F. GORDER, JR.
7				United States Attorney's Office 1000 S.W. Third Avenue, Suite 600
8				Portland, OR 97204-2902 (503) 727-1021
9				(303) 727 1021
10	FOR	THE	DEFENDANT:	LAWRENCE H. MATASAR Lawrence Matasar, P.C.
11				621 S.W. Morrison Street Suite 1025
12				Portland, OR 97205 (503) 222-9830
13				larry@pdxlaw.com
14				STEVEN T. WAX BERNARD J. CASEY
15				MICHELLE SWEET Federal Public Defender
16				101 S.W. Main Street, Suite 1700 Portland, OR 97204
17				(503) 326-2123 steve wax@fd.org
18				beeve_wancia.org
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

```
(Thursday, September 9, 2010; 11:25 a.m. Jury absent.)
1
2
                      PROCEEDINGS
             THE COURT: Thank you. Be seated, please.
3
                                                          Wе
    have a note from the jury.
4
             THE CLERK: I believe the note is on the bench,
5
    Judge.
6
7
             THE COURT: It is. And it says, "Can we please
    ask for the definition of, quote, Dawah, " D-A-W-A-H.
8
9
             So show this to the lawyers so they can see it.
    Looks like Juror Number 5 is the presiding juror or at
10
11
    least the note writer.
12
             I looked up Dawah on the Internet through
13
    Mr. Slade, who is better at that than I am. I will tell
    you that I rarely give the jury something like that when
14
15
    they are out deliberating.
             I did have a jury some years ago that wanted a
16
    dictionary, and I started to send it to them. It was
17
18
    late at night. And then Mary Pat in my office said,
    "Did you see that Ninth Circuit case last week, Judge?"
19
20
    My judicial assistant kept me from committing error.
21
             MR. MATASAR: That is a learned staff --
22
             THE COURT: It's a learned staff.
23
             MR. MATASAR: -- when the judicial assistant is
24
    up with the Ninth Circuit cases from two weeks ago.
25
             THE COURT: Isn't that amazing?
```

```
1
             MR. MATASAR: Mr. Baker must know the ones that
2
    are coming in the future.
3
             THE COURT: Apparently I had not seen the Ninth
    Circuit case.
4
5
             So what are your positions, fellas?
             MR. WAX: I'm trying to remember, Your Honor,
6
7
    if the term was defined by any of the witnesses.
             THE COURT: I don't remember it being defined.
8
9
    I know what it means now, at least according to a lay
    person, but I will tell -- I don't remember it being
10
11
    defined. I remember seeing it. And my gut reaction,
12
    frankly, or trick knee says I tell them "you need to
13
    rely on your collective recollection, but I'm not able
    to provide further explanation."
14
15
             MR. GORDER: Your Honor, that would be fine
    with us. I have a recollection that perhaps the witness
16
17
    Daveed Gartenstein-Ross mentioned it in his testimony
18
    but --
19
             THE COURT: He might have.
20
             MR. GORDER: He might have. But -- and I'm not
21
    sure he would have given the correct definition, so.
22
             THE COURT: We -- just a second. Off the
23
    record.
24
             (Discussion held off the record.)
25
             THE COURT: On the record. There is one thing,
```

due to today's technology, we're running a word search right now. And there are two portions that are not on Mr. Baker's computer. We're going to have to recess for our wonderful court reporter to go down and run the word, but they can look for the word.

We'll be in recess.

(Recess: 11:27 until 11:33 a.m.)

THE COURT: Well, it's actually defined twice in Mr. Kohlmann's testimony. And it lines up with what I read on the Internet, but -- so you know at page 127, I don't have the question for this page. I'll start in the middle of an answer. I'll just start on the page "something that was known as the Caucasus Foundation or the Kavkaz Foundation also known as the Kavkaz Institute.

"Essentially, what this was, was a small institution in the town of Serzhenyurt," and then spelled, "which was a school, per se. It's like an academy. And the idea behind the academy was to -- it was a Dawah academy. Dawah means missionary work. And by the definition of Ibn-ul-Khattab and his advisors, missionary work included teaching Chechen Muslims about the proper way of practicing Islam along their view -- again, their sectarian view of Islam which ran counter to the traditional Sufi Islamic Caucasus, and as well to

prepare them physically for combat, to give them combat training to teach them what the Arab Afghans had learned during the Soviet Afghan war about the Russian Army, the tactics that they could use to defeat the Russians the same way the Afghans had defeated the Russians."

So it goes on.

On page 159. "Question: Now, you've indicated that you've studied Islamic charities in connection with your work. Can you tell us about what the concept of Zakat is?

"Yes. Zakat is a religiously mandated tithe.

It's like a Muslim version of tithe. The idea is, is that you are mandated to contribute a certain amount of your income, a certain amount of the money you're taking in, in order to provide charity to fellow Muslims.

"Zakat means to provide charity to suffering
Muslims, the Muslims who are in a difficult position.

Although the traditional definition of Zakat applies to
poor people or orphans, whatnot, the same way that some
people considered Dawah, or missionary work, equally
providing support to the orphans and also providing
support to Muslim fighters.

"Zakat can also be, depending on your view of Muslim, depending on your sectarian perspective, Zakat can also be distributed to Muslim fighters who are

```
fighting a larger opponent."
1
2
             Now, those are just excerpts from those two
3
    pages. So that was in -- they are both in
    Mr. Kohlmann's testimony.
4
             MR. WAX: I think the answer you proposed
5
    should still stand, Your Honor.
6
7
             MR. GORDER: We agree, Your Honor.
             THE COURT: All right. Let me read what I'm
8
9
    going to propose to put on the record then.
10
             "Members of the jury, I received your note.
11
    Please rely on your collective recollections.
                                                    I'm not
12
    able to give you further explanation at this time.
13
    Judge Michael R. Hogan."
             We'll send it in. I learned that answer from
14
15
    Judge Belloni when I was a law clerk. It's served me
16
    well over the years. All right.
17
             MR. WAX: Thank you.
18
             MR. GORDER: Thank you, Your Honor.
19
             (Court stood in recess, subject to call, from
20
    11:37 until 5:16 p.m.)
21
             THE COURT: We'll go on the record. I have a
22
    message -- a note from the jury timed 5:25 and -- 4:25.
23
    And it's somewhat confusing to me. But I just want to
24
    read to you what it says. All right?
25
             It says Count 1: Do we need to be unanimous on
```

```
both part A and B of the count? Paren, IRS, slash, U.S.
1
2
    Customs Service, paren, question mark? Bracket, yes,
    slash, no part, bracket. And then under that it says
3
    not guilty, slash, not guilty.
4
             So the note is confusing to me, but I'm going
5
    to hand -- I've drafted a response, but I'm going to --
6
7
    please show it to both counsel. Give them enough time
8
    to study it.
9
             Christy, after you print that, I'd like it
    back, I want to add something to it. Go ahead and
10
11
    discuss it, folks.
12
             There is language that may have to do with this
13
    at the bottom of page 10 of the jury instructions.
                                                         Do
14
    you need those? Here you go.
15
             MR. MATASAR: Yes.
16
             MR. WAX: Could we also please see the form,
    the verdict form?
17
18
             THE COURT: Yes.
19
             MR. WAX: Thank you.
20
             MS. SWEET: Thank you.
21
             MR. WAX: Judge, I am wondering if it would be
22
    the portion on the top of page 12 --
23
             THE COURT: Yeah, I will look at that.
24
             MR. WAX: -- that might be more where it's the
25
    objects rather than the overt act issue, perhaps.
```

```
1
             THE COURT: Perhaps.
2
             MR. CARDANI:
                           Judge, I'm ready to speak on the
3
    government's behalf. Do you want to wait for them to be
    done or not?
4
             THE COURT: Are you ready?
5
             MR. MATASAR:
                           We're ready to listen to
 6
7
    Mr. Cardani.
             MR. WAX: Yes, we have a thought as well so
8
9
    we'll listen.
10
             THE COURT: Okay.
11
             MR. CARDANI: Judge, there may be some
12
    ambiguity expressed in that note regarding whether the
13
    jury believes it has to find both objects of the
    conspiracy to prove beyond a reasonable doubt to convict
14
15
    on count 1. If that's the case, it's not true.
    government only has to prove that if there was a
16
17
    conspiracy found, that they only have to find
18
    unanimously one object. Page 10 does say that, that
    they only have to find that the lawful functions of the
19
20
    former Customs Service or the IRS.
21
             So I suggest that the court provide
22
    clarification that if they found that there was a
23
    conspiracy, they need find only -- unanimously, but only
    one or the other or both to convict on count 1.
24
25
             THE COURT: Okay.
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
MR. WAX: Judge, I don't know that we have any
disagreement with what Mr. Cardani said, but we think
that there may also be confusion that could be resolved,
if you have found the defendant -- I mean, to say what
has been suggested, but if you have found the defendant
not quilty on count 1, you need not answer the other two
questions on page 1 of the verdict form.
         THE COURT: Here is the answer I've written,
before you gave your suggestions, Only if you have
unanimously found the defendant quilty on count 1, you
may answer yes to one of the questions if you thought
that was an object of the conspiracy, or yes to both
questions if you find that both objects -- that both
were objects of the conspiracy. And you need not answer
the yes/no questions if you find the defendant not
guilty of count 1.
                  Good.
         MR. WAX:
         MR. CARDANI: Judge, the beginning of that --
         THE COURT: Yes.
         MR. CARDANI: -- is it clear to them that they
need to find him quilty on count 1 only if they -- they
need only find one of the objects.
         THE COURT: Well, I'm going to pass this to
you. I am going to change this a little bit.
        MR. CARDANI: Judge, I --
```

```
1
             THE COURT: Just show this to the lawyers.
2
             MR. CARDANI: You may want to refer them to
3
    page 10.
 4
             THE COURT: I'm reluctant to do that. I may if
5
    this doesn't produce a result. But I almost feel like I
6
    have to bring them back in the courtroom if I do that
7
    and instruct them not to put more emphasis on one part
    of the instructions than the other.
8
9
             MR. CARDANI: I'm just afraid that they're
    thinking they may have to find both.
10
11
             THE COURT: When you read this, you'll --
12
             MR. CARDANI: Okay.
1.3
             MR. MATASAR: We're fine with your --
14
             THE COURT: I've got a new brace so my
15
    handwriting has gone up to a sixth grade level now,
16
    instead of third.
17
             MR. MATASAR: Is that the color of the brace?
18
             THE COURT: I just got out of the MRI machine.
19
             MR. WAX: It's broken or --
20
             THE COURT: Don't know. The doctor said no,
    but it's a bad little bone if it's broken and they don't
21
22
    know it. It's one of those things that lasts a long
23
    time.
24
             (Discussion held off the record.)
25
             MR. CARDANI: Judge, I just have some real
```

```
concern that they may be thinking that they have to find
1
2
    both objects unanimously to convict on count 1.
3
             THE COURT: That's what I tried to cover.
                                                         Ιf
    you can suggest a way to make my note clearer, I'm happy
4
    to -- of course they don't, we know that.
5
             MR. GORDER: Your Honor, I think the concern
6
7
    is -- and I'm not quite sure exactly how to address it,
    is they may think they have to have an unanimous
8
    decision on both A and B.
10
             THE COURT: Okay.
11
             MR. GORDER: Regardless of whether it's yes or
12
    no.
             THE COURT: Bring me the note. Let me look at
13
14
    it again.
15
             MR. GORDER: In other words, to be 12 yes on A,
    and 6, 6 on B, and that's not unanimous, so.
16
17
             THE COURT: Just a second.
18
             (Discussion held off the record.)
19
             THE COURT: I can print that text for each of
20
    you also.
21
             MR. GORDER: That might help, Your Honor.
22
             MR. CARDANI: Judge, I'm taking a shot at some
23
    language I'd like you to consider, too. If you'll give
24
    me a few more minutes, I'll be done.
25
             MR. WAX: We're still fine with it, Judge.
```

```
1
             THE COURT: Go ahead and type it out.
2
             (Mr. Cardani tenders his note to the defense.)
             MR. MATASAR: This is a totally one-sided
3
    version, and not appropriate jury instructions.
4
5
             MR. CARDANI:
                           What's --
             MR. WAX: The whole thing, Chris. What the
6
7
    judge has suggested is fine.
             MR. CARDANI: Judge, I'm just --
8
9
             THE COURT: I'm happy to read it.
             MR. MATASAR:
10
                           Sure.
11
             MR. WAX: Yeah.
12
             THE COURT: Mr. Cardani, your answer is
13
    correct, but I think I may like mine better, but I'll
14
    look at it again.
15
             There's something that needs to be changed.
                                                           Wе
    missed a word.
16
17
             MR. WAX: Judge, given the language in the
18
    note, our suggestion on this would be to start with the
    last two sentences, to start with, Your verdict must be
19
20
    unanimous, then if you find the defendant not quilty on
21
    count 1, do not answer the yes/no parts. Then to the,
22
    you know, if you have found him guilty.
23
             THE COURT: Thank you.
24
             MR. WAX: I also think there should be a
25
    parallel -- if you are starting with, If you have found
```

```
1
    defendant quilty, you may answer, I think then the last
2
    section --
             THE COURT: I agree.
3
             MR. WAX: I'm not sure, Judge, does it make
 4
5
    more sense to use the "have found" or to use the "find"
6
    language.
7
             THE COURT: Don't know. It is sophistry, one
8
    way or the other.
9
             MR. GORDER: Your Honor, if we could just
    suggest an additional clause in the part about finding
10
    the defendant guilty, if you have found defendant guilty
11
12
    on count 1, which requires proof of the only one object,
13
    you may answer yes.
             THE COURT: Okay. All right. I'm going to
14
    send in what I've written. And make Mr. Cardani's
15
    writing a part of the record.
16
17
             MR. WAX: Have you used "have found" in both?
18
             THE COURT: Yes.
19
             MR. WAX: Thank you.
20
             MR. GORDER: Can we get copies, I guess, of the
    final, final version?
21
22
             THE COURT: Yes, you will. I want -- and then
23
    I think it's wise for us to stay in the courthouse at
24
    this point.
25
            MR. MATASAR: Yes, yes.
```

```
1
             THE COURT: If you want to talk, that's fine.
2
    Please go out in the hallway.
3
             (Court stood in recess, subject to call, from
    5:54 until 6:08 p.m.)
4
             MR. GORDER: Mr. Cardani will be here
5
    momentarily, Your Honor.
6
7
             MR. CARDANI: Sorry, Judge.
             THE COURT: That's fine. The note reads, "We
8
9
    have a verdict."
10
             Please seat the jury.
11
             (Jury enters the courtroom at 6:11 p.m.)
12
             THE COURT: Please hand your verdict to the
13
    clerk. Thank you.
             Please read the verdict.
14
15
             THE CLERK: In the cause now before the court,
    the United States of America versus Pirouz Sedaghaty,
16
    Case Number 05-60008, Count 1, as to count 1 of the
17
18
    indictment charging conspiracy to defraud the United
    States, guilty.
19
20
             If guilty on count 1, did you unanimously find
21
    that an object of the conspiracy was to defraud the
22
    Internal Revenue Service? Answer of the jury: Yes.
23
             Count 2, false return on tax exempt
24
    organization, as to count 2 of the indictment charging
25
    false return by tax exempt organization. Answer of the
```

```
1
    jury: Guilty.
2
            Dated the 9th day of September 2010. Signed by
   presiding Juror //////////.
3
            THE COURT: Thank you. Please poll the jury.
4
            5
6
   is this your true verdict?
7
            JUROR NUMBER 2: Yes.
            THE CLERK: Juror Number 3, ///////// is
8
9
   this your true verdict?
10
            JUROR NUMBER 3: Yes.
            THE CLERK: Juror Number 4, /////////, is
11
12
   this your true verdict?
13
            JUROR NUMBER 4: Yes.
            THE CLERK: Juror Number 5, /////// is this
14
15
   your true verdict?
            JUROR NUMBER 5: Yes.
16
            THE CLERK: Juror Number 6, ////////// is
17
18
   this your true verdict?
19
            JUROR NUMBER 6: Yes.
            THE CLERK: Juror Number 8, ////////, is
20
   this your true verdict?
21
22
            JUROR NUMBER 8: Yes.
            THE CLERK: Juror Number 9, ///////////, is
23
24
   this your true verdict?
25
            JUROR NUMBER 9: Yes.
```

```
THE CLERK: Juror Number 10, ///////// is
1
2
    this your true verdict?
3
            JUROR NUMBER 10:
                              Yes.
            4
    is this your true verdict?
5
6
            JUROR NUMBER 11:
                              Yes.
            THE CLERK: Juror Number 12, //////// is
7
    this your true verdict?
8
9
            JUROR NUMBER 12: Yes.
            THE CLERK: Juror Number 13, /////////, is
10
11
    this your true verdict?
12
            JUROR NUMBER 13: Yes.
            THE CLERK: Juror Number 14, ^{\prime\prime}/////////, is
13
    this your true verdict?
14
15
            JUROR NUMBER 14:
            THE COURT: Well, jury, you have learned some
16
17
    things: One is that jury service is hard work, hard
18
    work. And we are grateful for your service.
19
            This is one of the great privileges you have as
20
    a citizen of this country, to serve in this way. And
    another is to vote. So you do that, too.
21
22
            Now, I'll tell you that many times at this
23
    stage of the case, jurors ask me whether they are free
24
    to talk about the case to someone else. And now you are
25
    free to talk about the case to anyone you wish.
```

```
However, you need not talk to anyone, anyone, that
1
2
    includes me, about your work.
3
             If you decide you'd like to talk to someone,
    whoever it may be, I would ask this: Your fellow jurors
4
    expressed their views in private to you. So if you do
5
    that, then I'd like to ask you to preserve their
6
7
    privacy. So if you talk, talk about your own thoughts,
    not someone else, all right? You all deserve the
8
    respect of serving on the jury.
9
             Now, at this time I am going to excuse you to
10
11
    the jury room. Someone will be there after a few
12
    moments to excuse you for the evening, all right? Thank
13
    you very much.
             (Jury exits the courtroom at 6:15 p.m.)
14
15
             THE COURT: Counsel, is there anything further
16
    before I excuse the jury?
17
             MR. GORDER: Not on behalf of the government,
18
    Your Honor.
             Your Honor, we do have a few things we'd like
19
20
    to discuss beyond that.
21
             THE COURT: Thank you.
22
             MR. WAX: There will be post-verdict motions,
23
    Your Honor, and I don't know that this is the
24
    appropriate time to raise them.
25
            THE COURT: All right. Thank you. You can
```

```
1
    file them in writing.
2
             Is there anything further for the court?
             MR. GORDER: Yes, Your Honor. We need to set a
3
    date for sentencing.
4
             And the government at this time would move to
5
    remand Mr. Sedaghaty to custody. The burden has shifted
6
7
    now that he's convicted for him to prove by clear and
8
    convincing evidence that he is not a flight risk.
             We believe he is. He is a citizen of Iran as
    well as the United States. The court is probably aware
10
11
    that he fled the country in 2003 and was gone for
12
    several years. He did return in August of 2007. But
13
    there is nothing that prevents him from leaving today.
             As a citizen of Iran, he could get another
14
    passport from either the Iranian Interests Section in
15
    Washington, or if he went to Canada or Mexico, from
16
    their embassies in those countries.
17
18
             Mr. Cardani has got a similar situation right
19
    now where there is a fugitive who was a U.S. citizen but
20
    also a citizen of Iran, who -- we had his passport.
    managed to leave the country. And he is now in Iran.
21
22
    So we're very concerned about flight risk.
23
             THE COURT: Thank you. Do you wish to be
    heard?
24
25
             MR. WAX: Yes, Your Honor. I think that
```

```
Mr. Seda has demonstrated during the past three years
1
2
    that he will abide by the court's directives.
    his country. He is a U.S. citizen. He has been at
3
    liberty for more than three -- well, three years after
4
    voluntarily returning to this country in order to face
5
    the charges.
6
7
             We believe that he has earned the court's trust
    and that he will continue to honor his commitments to
8
9
    the court.
             THE COURT: Thank you. Do we have a sentencing
10
11
    date at this time?
12
             THE CLERK: Sentencing is set for November 23,
13
    2010, at 10 o'clock.
             THE COURT: Counsel, thank you all for a
14
15
    wonderful, able and professional presentation of this
    case to the jury, and your professionalism in your
16
    dealings with the court.
17
18
             I am going to remand the defendant to the
    custody of the marshal at this time.
19
20
             Thank you. We're in recess.
21
             (The proceedings were concluded at 6:18 p.m.)
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE

I, Deborah Wilhelm, Certified Shorthand Reporter for the State of Oregon, do hereby certify that I was present at and reported in machine shorthand the oral proceedings had in the above-entitled matter. I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript, incorporating redactions of personal identifiers requested by the Honorable Michael R. Hogan, in accordance with Judicial Conference policy. Redacted characters appear as an "/" in the transcript. Dated this 16th day of September, 2010.

/s/ Deborah Wilhelm

Deborah Wilhelm, RPR Certified Shorthand Reporter Certificate No. 00-0363